



Accredited by NAAC & NBA*

SVCE BENGALURU

SRI VENKATESHWARA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

— Affiliated to VTU, Approved by AICTE, Recognised by UGC u/s 2(f) & 12(B)—

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Rubrics: Project Work (Phase – 2)

Review – 0th

AY: 2022 – 2023**Scheme: 2018****Semester: 8th****Max. Marks: 40**

Review on Introduction, Literature Survey & including Report

Parameters	Marks	Outstanding	Good / Average	Fair
Project Report (Introduction & Literature Review)	10	Report in format, content is clearly written, sentences are grammatical and free from spelling errors, submitted in time.	Report in format, content is not clearly written, sentences are not grammatical and not free from spelling errors, submitted in time.	Report not in format, content not in order, grammatical mistakes, spelling errors, not submitted in time.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Preparation of PPT	10	PPT Slides are according to format, covered all the aspects with animations wherever necessary.	PPT slides are according to format with moderate level of content and no animations.	PPT slides are not in the format and contents are not proper.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Presentation of PPT	20	Presentation is audible and fluent on the topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond.	Presentation is mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and requires minimal referral to notes.	Presentation is often inaudible or hesitant; often speaking in incomplete sentences and relies heavily on notes.
		20 – 16 Marks	15 – 10 Marks	09 – 01 Marks
Viva Voice	10	Answered all the Questions during presentation.	Answered few Questions during presentation.	Partially answered to Questions during presentation.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks



Rubrics: Project Work (Phase – 2)

Review – 1st

Review on Methodology

Parameters	Marks	Outstanding	Good / Average	Poor
Inclusion of Process flow /Algorithm/ Flowchart / pseudocodes.	10	The student explained / designed flowchart / algorithm of project work outstandingly.	The student explained / designed flowchart / algorithm of project work averagely.	The student explained / designed flowchart / algorithm of project work fairly.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Incorporation of hardware design/ Block diagram/ appropriate circuitry and relevant techniques.	10	The student explained hardware design / block diagram of the project work with appropriate circuit and relevant techniques outstandingly.	The student explained hardware design / block diagram of the project work with appropriate circuit and relevant techniques averagely.	The student explained hardware design / block diagram of the project work with appropriate circuit and relevant techniques fairly.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Presentation skills.	10	Presentation is audible and fluent on the topic, and do not rely on notes to present or respond.	Presentation is mostly audible and fluent on their topic, and requires minimal referral to notes.	Presentation is often inaudible or hesitant; often speaking in incomplete sentences and relies heavily on notes.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Project Report content on methodology and implementation.	10	Report in format, methodology and implementation content is clearly written, sentences are grammatical and free from spelling errors, submitted in time.	Report in format, methodology and implementation content is not clearly written, sentences are not grammatical and not free from spelling errors, submitted in time.	Report not in format, methodology and implementation content not in order, grammatical mistakes, spelling errors, not submitted in time.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Viva Voice.	10	Answered all the Questions during presentation.	Answered few Questions during presentation.	Partially answered to Questions during presentation.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks



Rubrics: Project Work (Phase – 2)

Review – 2nd

Review on Implementation & Prototype functional verification

Parameters	Marks	Outstanding	Good / Average	Poor
Clarity and achievement of project objectives.	10	The objectives of the project work were clear and achieved outstandingly.	The objectives of the project work were clear and achieved averagely.	The objectives of the project work were clear and achieved fairly.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Usage of Modern Tool / Technology.	10	The usage of modern tool / technology in the project work was outstanding.	The usage of modern tool / technology in the project work was average.	The usage of modern tool / technology in the project work was fair.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Architectural Design / Component and System Design / Data Design / Code Design / Interface Design / Suitable Process Model.	10	The project work was designed using emerging techniques outstandingly.	The project work was designed using emerging techniques averagely.	The project work was designed using emerging techniques fairly.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Importance of project for society/ Accuracy of conclusions / Handling of ethical issues.	10	The project work having outstanding importance of society for handling of ethical issues.	The project work having average importance of society for handling of ethical issues.	The project work having fair importance of society for handling of ethical issues.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks
Individual contribution.	10	The individual contribution of the student was outstanding in completing the project work.	The individual contribution of the student was average in completing the project work.	The individual contribution of the student was fair in completing the project work.
		10 – 08 Marks	07 – 05 Marks	04 – 01 Marks



Rubrics: Project Work (Phase – 2)

Review – 3rd

Review on Demonstration of Working Model & Status of Journal & Thesis

Parameters	Marks	Outstanding	Good / Average	Poor
Incorporation of Suggestions & Project Demonstration	10	All suggestions are considered in implementation and the working model produce required output	A few suggestions are considered in implementation and the working model produce required output	A few suggestions are considered in implementation and the working model produce partial required output
		10 – 06 Marks	05 – 02 Marks	01 – 00 Marks
Individual students exhibited attainment of all POs [Evaluated by guide]	10	Individual students exhibited attainment of all POs	Individual students exhibited attainment of a few POs	Individual students not exhibited attainment of all POs
		10 – 06 Marks	05 – 02 Marks	01 – 00 Marks
Presentation with Results and discussion	10	The results of project are as per requirements	The results of project are partially made as per requirements	The results of project are not as per requirements
		10 – 06 Marks	05 – 02 Marks	01 – 00 Marks
Project report with result, discussion and bibliography	10	Project report is having all result and bibliography	Project report is having partial result and bibliography	Project report is not having result and bibliography
		10 – 06 Marks	05 – 02 Marks	01 – 00 Marks
Plagiarism report	10	Similarity Index was <10	Similarity Index was <20	Similarity Index was <25
		10 – 06 Marks	05 – 02 Marks	01 – 00 Marks



Project Work Phase – II CIE Marks Distribution: -

Review : 0 th	Review : 1 st	Review : 2 nd	Review : 3 rd	Average Marks of all Reviews	Final IA Marks
50 Marks	50 Marks	50 Marks	50 Marks	-	40 Marks

The average marks of all the reviews, should be scale down to 40 Marks.

M 16/2/23

IQAC Head

IQAC Coordinator

Sri Venkateshwara College of Engineering
Vidyanagar, Bengaluru-562157

Sunil 16/2/23

COE

Dr. SUNIL. S.

Controller of Examination
Sri Venkateshwara College of Engineering
Vidyanagar, Bengaluru-562 157

G 16/2/23

Dean Academics

Dean Academics

Sri Venkateshwara College of Engineering
Bengaluru- 562157

Nageswara 16/2/23

Principal

Dr. NAGESWARA GUPTHA M.
PRINCIPAL

Sri Venkateshwara College of Engineering
Vidyanagar, Bangalore-562 157

